

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,408	09/10/2001	Petrus Antonius Josephina Vos	VOS 2	3366
75	90 08/27/2002			
Browdy and N 624 Ninth Stree			EXAMI	NER
Washington, DC 20001-5303			SAKELARIS, SALLY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	lr.
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*		09/857,408	VOS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sally A Sakelaris	1634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply will, by statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	August 2002 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	vance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-18 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappı	roved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proceeds the compact of the compact is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	ceived.			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 12			

Application/Control Number: 09/857,408

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's supplemental response filed August 14, 2002, paper No. 13 has been fully considered, but does not alter the examiner's opinion as relayed in her First Office Action on the Merits(FAOM) sent on 8/14/2002. The following is a copy of the examiner's maintained positions regarding the instant claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 15-18 in paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner ignored the PCT rules for lack of unity of invention as unity was not broken in the international stage. However, it is maintained that although the examiner adhered to the PCT rules concerning the lack of unity of invention, they are not required to follow the precedent set forth during the application's international stage of prosecution. Each examiner adheres to the guidelines of the office and to his/her own discretion in their determination of the ability to, or to not, break unity in the national stage, 371 application. With respect to applicants contention that the special technical feature of the present claims is not anticipated by the Cantor et al. reference (US 6,007,987), the examiner points applicants attention to Table 1 of the present reference displaying the multiple restriction enzymes that can be used at both the 3' and 5' ends of the multiple probes comprising the arrays of this reference.

The lack of unity is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,408

Art Unit: 1634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. Claims 15-18 are indefinite over the recitation of "corresponding to said AFLP-marker." It is unclear as to how the "corresponding" should apply. It is unclear whether the restriction fragment sequence preceding, following, or within the AFLP marker is required to correspond to the AFLP-marker. It is further unclear what degree of similarity or concordance is implied in the phrase "corresponding" ie., how many base pairs of similarity or with what sequences that define an AFLP-marker. Applicant may wish to omit the phrase "corresponding" so that the claim reads only "comprises a restriction fragment sequence of said AFLP-marker."
- B. Claims 16-18 are indefinite over the recitation of "optionally further amplifying, purifying and/or modifying the nucleic acid sequence; and" as it is unclear whether the following steps of d) and e) are optional also. It appears as though applicant may have intended step c) to be the only optional step but may wish to delete the "and" following step c) in order to further elucidate their intention.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,408

Art Unit: 1634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 3. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCasky Feazel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,100,030).

McCasky Feazel et al. teach a method for providing an array of nucleic acid sequences bound to a carrier comprising the steps of using AFLP and PCR analysis to identify differentially amplified nucleic acids, providing these nucleic acid sequences as AFLP generated probes that map to polymorphisms(Col.3, lines 29-30). The reference also teaches attaching the nucleic acid probes to a solid support wherein the probe is a member of an array of probes(Col.53, lines 61-65). The reference further teaches that following AFLP analysis, but prior to attachment to the solid support, "individual bands that are unique to the population of interest (polymorphisms) ...are visualized...and are cut out of the gel"(Col. 9, lines 51-66). Following the extraction from the gel, the "DNA is amplified by placing the gel piece directly into a reaction vessel containing the PCR reagents and appropriate AFLP primers...PCR amplification of the DNA in the gel band"(Col. 10, lines 2-8) is then completed. The reference further teaches providing a nucleic

Art Unit: 1634

acid sequence that comprises at least one restriction fragment "of DNA <that> is selected from the group consisting of cDNA, genomic DNA etc..." (Col. 54, lines 34-36). McCasky Feazel et al. anticipate claims 15-18 of the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Sally Sakelaris whose telephone number is (703) 306-0284. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W.Gary Jones, can be reached on (703)308-1152. The fax number for the Technology Center is (703)305-3014 or (703)305-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Chantai Dessau whose telephone number is (703)605-1237.

Sally Sakelaris